DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 099 793 CS 001 510

AUTHOR Kerst, Stephen M.

TITLE Hemory as a Constructive Process: Report from the

Project on Conditions of School Learning and Instructional Strategies. Theoretical Paper No.

48.

INSTITUTION Wisconsin Univ., Madison. Research and Development

Center for Cognitive Learning.

SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington,

D.C.

PEPOPT NO WRDCCL-TP-48

PUB DATE Jan 74

CONTRACT NE-C-00-3-0065

NOTE 25p.

PDRS PRICE HF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.85 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS *Cognitive Processes; Communication (Thought

Transfer); Information Theory; Learning Processes;

*Literature Reviews: *Hemory: Models: *Recall

(Psychological): *Retention

ABSTRACT

Evidence from studies of memory for meaningful materials such as sentences and prose passages is reviewed in this paper with emphasis on its implications for the nature of the memory representation. It is argued that models of memory which involve the retrieval of stored copies of originally presented material cannot account for two crucial phenomena: (1) the retention of meaning in spite of losses in memory for specific wording, and (2) the "recall" of information which could only have been obtained by inference. Views of memory which include varying degrees of constructive, interpretive, and reconstructive processes are considered as alternatives to the copy model. These alternatives include theories based on psycholinguistic constructs and visual imagery, as well as positions involving the abstraction and reconstruction of information by means of more general integrative representations. (Author)



S DE PANTMENT OF NEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

MICH. STORM STEEN REPRO
EDUCATION

MICH. STORM STEEN REPRO
EDUCATION

MICH. STORM STEEN CONTON

MICH. STORM STEEN STEEN

MICH. STORM STEEN STEEN

Theoretical Paper No. 48 MEMORY AS A CONSTRUCTIVE PROCESS

by

Stephen M. Kerst

Report from the Project on Conditions of School Learning and Instructional Strategies

> Joel R. Levin Principal Investigator

Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning The University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin

January 1974

Published by the Wiscon ... Research and Le Plopment Jenter for Cognitive Learning, supported in part as a surch and develop ant center by funds from the National Institute of Education, per truent of Health Education, and Welfare. The opinions expressed herein do not in Sarily reflect the position or policy of the National Institute of Education and official endorcement by that agency should be inferred.

Cer. Contract No. Nº 2-00-3-0 65



Statement of Focus

Individually Guided Education (IGE) is a new comprehensive system of elementary education. The following components of the IGE system are in varying stages of development and implementation: a new organization for instruction and related administrative arrangements; a model of instructional programing for the individual student; and curriculum components in prereading, reading, mathematics, motivation, and environmental education. The development of other curriculum components, of a system for managing instruction by computer, and of instructional strategies is needed to complete the system. Continuing programmatic research is required to provide a sound knowledge ase for the components under development and for improved second generation mponents. Finally, systematic implementation is essential so that the prodict vill function properly in the IGE schools.

The Center plans and carries out the research, development, and implement tion components of its IGE program in this sequence: (1) identify the netion and delimit the component problem area; (2) assess the possible construction is financial resources and availability of staff; (3) formulate general plathing specific procedures for solving the problems; (4) secure and allocated numer and material resources to carry out the plans; (5) provide for effective communication among personnel and efficient management of activities and resources; and (6) evaluate the effectiveness of each activity and its contribution to the total program and correct any difficulties through feedback mechanisms and appropriate management techniques.

A self-renewing system of elementary education is projected in each participating elementary school, i.e., one which is less dependent on external sources for direction and is more responsive to the needs of the children attending each particular school. In the IGE schools, Center-developed and other curriculum projects compatible with the Center's instructional programing model will lead to higher student achievement and self-direction in learning and in conduct and also to higher morale and job satisfaction among educational personnel. Each developmental product makes its unique contribution to IGE as this implemented in the schools. The various research components add to the nowledge of Center practitioners, developers, and theorists.



Acknowledgments

I im grateful for the initial guidance given by Prof. Robert E. Darkson in a Prof. Steven R. Yussen during the writing of this paper, and i r the incouragement and critical comments given by Prof. Joel R. Levin juring its revision.



1.

District Control of the Control of t

Table of Contents

Ack	nowledgments
Abs	tract
1.	Memory: Construction or Copy
	Memory for Meaning Versus Retention of Wording
	The Abstraction of a Theme from Prose
	Psycholinguistic Views of Memory
	Processes of Inference and Integration in Memory
	Inference as a Memory Process
	Effects of Instructions on Memory Processes
	Integration of Information in Memory
	Characteristics of the Memory Representation to the
	Bransford and Franks Integration Paradigm
11.	Visual Imagery in Memory
	Problems in Separating Mode of Storage from Comprehension Factors
	Comprehension of Sentences
111.	From Abstraction During Learning to Reconstruction at Recall 1.
	Remembering: Retrieval or Reconstruction?
	Memory and Cognitive Structure
	Age Differences in Constructive Processes
	Forgotting 10
IV.	dummary, implications for Liucation, and Future Research 1
	Eliquational Implications
	Suggestions for Puture Research
Rofe	rences



Abstract

In this paper, evidence from studies of memory for meaningful materials such as sentences and prose passages is reviewed with emphasis on its implications for the nature of the memory representation. It is argued that models of memory which involve the retrieval of stored copies of originally presented material cannot account for two crucial phenomena: (1) the retention of meaning in spite of losses in memory for specific wording, and (2) the "recall" of information which could only have been obtained by inference. Views of memory which include varying degrees of constructive, interpretative, and reconstructive processes are considered as alternatives to the copy model. These alternatives include theories based on psycholinguistic constructs and visual imagery, as well as positions involving the abstraction and reconstruction of information by means of more general integrative representations.



I Memory: Construction or Copy?

lake the traces of experience left Aristotle's wax tablet the view of men, ...y as a process of producing and retrieving copies of experience has faded only slightly with aje. James Mill wrote in 1829, "Our ideas spring up, or exist, in the order in which the sensitions exister, of which they are copies Meisser, 1967, p. 281]. " Ebbinghaus and later octionox experimental psychologists took e sectifully the same position. The temporal and spatial or janization of the input was considercy to be mirrored by unmodifiable memory trace. If it is were subject only to strengthening with rejection, to decay with time, or to competition from other traces. In recall, a suitable stimulis reactivates the stored trace. Experience was passively and literally recorded and played back; interpretation did not enter into either phase. Traces remained inservice and unchangeable components of the men my record.

it is often the case that the experimental methods which accompany a theoretical viewpoint in not ideally suited to raising evidence ittinstits joneral underlying assumptions. When lists of unrelated stimuli such as nonsense syllaples were used, the opportunity for the study of alien processes like interprotation or change in recall was limited. Both the input provide i and the output requested were in the form of discrete pieces, just as the internal representation was presumed to be. Bartlett (1932) argued against this approach and claimed that the subject's responses to consense stimuli, rather than being basic, were more imposyncratic and odd than responses to common language. "It is impossible to ril stimuli of meaning so long as they remain capable of arousing any numan response. . . . This creates an atmosphere of artificiality for all memory experiments, making them rather a stray of the software enterpresent on a constonance of repetition natures. To make the explanation of the variety of recall responses depend

mainly upon variations of stimuli and of their order, frequency, and mode of presentation, is to ignore dangerously those equally important conditions which belong to the subjective attitude and to predetermined reaction tendencies [Bartlett, 1932, p. 4]."

In order to study naturally occurring memory phenomena. Bartlett asked his subjects to recall prose passages rather than lists of nonsense syllables. With connected meaningful material the phenomenon of change in recall emerged. Rather than reduplicating a story verbatim or with assorted words omitted, subjects edited, modified, paraphrased, and reorganized the material in recall. For example, stories about people of unfamiliar cultures were often distorted in recall so that events in the story became more consistent with conventional, probable events in the culture of the subject. Bartlett concluded that a theory involving "fixed and lifeless traces" was inappropriate, since recall was not veridical or fully determined by presented stimuli, but it involved change due to interpretation (not necessarily intentional) by the subject.

To handle these memory phenomena, Bartlett proposed that memory involved not the deposition of discrete traces but the formation of "active organized settings" or "schemata" into which incoming information was incorporated. The schemata did not provide stable pigeenholes into which information was filed piece by piece, but a changing integrated context into which new conceptually related experience was assimilated. Remembering was not accomplished by retrieving a stored item, or even a stored synthesis, but by inferring from the current state of schema what ingredients had yone into it. According to Bartlett, "Remembering . . . is an imaginative reconstruction, or construction, built out of the relation of our attitude towards a whole active mass of organized past reactions or experience [1932, p. 213]. " Paul (1967) asserts that the



crucial point of this position is that remembering involves more than the re-excitation of the record, whatever form the record may take. The process is both constructive and reconstructive. As material is presented, it is perceived and interpreted in relation to past experience and assimilated into a schema. Inferential reconstruction occurs at recall, it is a radical position; what is presented (or even perceived) is not what is stored, and what is stored only provides class about what is to be recalled.

Neisser (1967) takes a similar constructivist position. He argues that naive realism, the notion that the products of perception are copies of external stimuli, has been shown to be inadequate for explaining the perception of speech and visual materials. For example, visual perception involves the continuous integration of many retinal "spapshots" to construct a visual image. In speech percuption there is no one-to-one correspondence between the physical stimulus and the phoneme which it indicates to the listener; different sounds are responded to as equivalents, and similar sounds are reacted to differently depending on the context in which they are interpreted. Neisser proposes that information stored in memory has the same type of relationship to recall as external stimuli have to perception: namely, the first allows construction of the second. "Out of a few stored bone chips, we remember a dinosaur [Neisser, 1967, p. 285]." The "bone chips" themselves are the remains of previous constructive activity. These remains evidently include some fragments of content information, as well as information about how construction takes place. Copies of completed constructions such as imajes or sentences are not stored whole, but are likewise reconstructed.

Memory phenomera are liverse. On the one hand, there is veridical recall of unconnected pieces of information where reconstruction by inference seems unlikely and where what is recalled seems identical in form to what was presented. On the other hand, in memory for connected discourse what is recalled—the "gist," meaning, or paraphrased version of the passage—is markedly different in form (and possibly in content) from the original input. A strict form of copy theory cannot handle such changes in recall, while a reconstructive theory, where no specific traces are postulated, is hard pressed to account for accurate memory for particular facts.

Compromise views have been proposed to namile retention of both specifics and apstracted information. Paul's (1967) formulation involves both traces and schemata. In recall,

traces are organized, and the resulting construction is checked against the schema, the conceptually organized setting which reflects previous experience and knowledge of the world. Tulving (1972) suggests a distinction between memory for personally experienced episodes (e.g., a flash of take, a word presented at a particular time, a meeting with a friend) and memory for conceptual semantic information (e.g., propositions like "Gasoline is made from crude oil").

Entsodic memory involves retention of specific spatio-temporal information about a signal or event itself, while semantic memory contains information about the referent of a signal regardless of its time of occurrence or form. Both perception and thought contribute to seriantic memory, which involves inference and reconstruction, while episodic recall is not dependent on reconstruction from related information. Products of semantic memory can be rehearsed in episodic memory (e.g., a specific sentence expressing reconstructed information could be repeated as an event taking place at a particular time). Ausubel (1963) includes both traces and reconstructive inferential processes in his view of memory for prose. When the learner comprehends poorly and cannot relate new material to previous knowledge, the input is stored in a rote fashich, where its traces remain distinct and separate from those of other information. When the new naterial can be related to prior knowledge, it is subsumed under known concepts and can be retrieved by reconstructive inference. In this view, given that the material is potentially meaningful, it is the subject's cognitive structure, not the nature of the material, which Jetermines whether storage takes the form of isolated traces or integrated information.

Memory for Meaning Versus Retention of Wording

It is not obvious which one of these speculative views is most adequate; but it is clear that some alternative to the copy approach is needed to explain memory for connected meaningful material, where information is somehow retained in spite of losses in memory for wording. Except for actors and experimental subjects, it is usually the first, rather than the second, which is required. Welborn and English (1937), in a review of 83 experiments, provide evidence for the common sense observation that memory for substance and verbatim memory behave differently. In two representative studies cited in this review, English, Welborn, and Killian (1934) compared substance and verbatim memory for long (1500-word) prose

passages by means of true and false recognition tests given immediately and at intervals from four to fourteen weeks after acquisition. Memory for verbatim items showed loss over time, but memory for paraphrase summary items did not decline; in fact, improvement was noted in some cases. A replication study likewise yielded a significant difference between verbatim and summary items concerning the proportion of subjects who showed improvement over time. One could argue that this difference is due to the fact that repeated testing benefited memory for gist more than verbatim retention, but the authors report other data that suggest that repetition affects verbatim memory more than memory for dist. An experiment by Howe (1970) provides some support for this proposition, in that repeated presentation and testing had little effect in eliminating original errors in both verbatim and substance memory for a short (160-word) passage which was easily comprehended. The use of independent groups tested at different intervals would, of course, be desirable in such a study in order to separate re-testing and forgetting effects.

Memory for gist is also more resistant to interference from interpolated material than is verbatim memory. Sachs (1967) instructed subjects to listen for changes in the meaning (subject-object reversals; negation) and form (active-passive changes and alterations of phrase order that did not affect meaning) of sentences in prose passages. When a recognition sentence was presented immediately after a test sentence, subjects were able to detect changes in both meaning and form, but when other sentences in the passage separated the presentation and testing of the target sentence, recognition for syntactic changes dropped markedly while sensitivity to changes in meaning remained high. Memory for the meaning of a sentence is thus not dependent on memory for its form--a phenomenon that is inconsistent with any theory which proposes that copies of input provide the basis of memory.

Under the usual conditions of sentence comprehension, where the listener is not instructed to attend to formal aspects of a sentence, memory for form can be even poorer than in the Sachs (1967) study. Wanner (in Fillenbaum, 1971) found that after interpolated material, incidental memory for the meaning of a sentence embedded in the instructions approached 100%, while recognition of stylistic changes did not exceed chance. Begg (1971), following dachs' (1967) procedure, used a continuous recognition paradigm with long lists of unrelated sentences (presented either visually or auditorally). The lists included

repetitions of sentences originally presented in the list, as well as sentences changed in meaning or form from the original. The proposition that memory for meaning can be independent of memory for wording was supported.

Welborn and English, in their 1937 review. state, "It now seems evident that any theory of learning is unlikely to prove acceptable unless it is based on investigations with meaningful material [p. 1]." It is with connected meaningful material that problems arise for copy theories of memory. These authors cite evidence which suggest that repetition and serial position--potent factors in rote memory -- are not nearly as effective concerning memory for gist. That memory for portions of prose is largely independent of order of occurrence indicates that laws based on rote learning of isolated units cannot be readily generalized to meaningful material. For example, Olson (1971) cites a study by Anisfeld concerning memory for adjectivenoun phrases. In a continuous recognition task, subjects were presented phrases which were identical to an original (e.g., back door), phrases with different adjectives which preserved the meaning of the original (e.g., rear door), phrases which reversed the meaning (e.g., front door), and neutral control phrases (e.g., screen door), and judged whether they were old or new. In similar tasks using single words as stimuli, false recognition responses to both synonyms and antonyms were significantly more frequent than to neutral words. When adjective-noun phrases were used, however, false recognition responses were made to antonymous phrases no more often than to neutral phrases, and responses to synonymous phrases were significantly more frequent than responses to neutral phrases. Subjects confronted with two-word adjective phrases were likely to make errors which preserved the meaning of presented material, while those who dealt with single words also made errors which reversed the meaning of the words. The change in unit of analysis from one word to two words resulted in the strikingly different phenomena of rote and gist meriory.

The Abstraction of a Theme from Prose

The preservation of meaning in recal: appears to involve the process of abstraction of a central theme and selective omission of the information least relevant to that theme. Gomulicki (1956) found that as the length of prose passages increased from 13 to 95 words the size of omitted pertions in recall increased from single adjectives to descriptive phrases,



The state of the passage is understood by the substance of the state o

A strony by Pethipa and Lachman (1967) pro-These relational evidence for the formation of control there as in memory for prose. Two para if their work tost measo that, in the experimenters' padyment, the individual words Financiation out of context were not related to the joueral thome of the passage. To design there paragraphs, word association norms relevant to make tual words were compared with 153 Mations produced by subjects who har and taken to suppost words which, altament and included in the paragraphs, were lively to be found in the story from which the partition in the raise allege thy taken. The passages were constructed so that these two sets of ass scientions overlapped very little. The the property were presented in either scrambled or syntactic word or for to other subjects who were tested for either recognition or recall. for sommethous of testing, subjects who rear the the man fully ordered versions made significantly more thematically related errors that offers unrelated to the theme of the i with the wards supports the proposition that nextory involves the construction of themes. leaders of the scrambled versions, made an regard aumber of errors of each type. The fur a r am does of themstic errors obtained with the syntactic versions were thus not due to associations between the multidual words to the pussage and the errors themselves, size the two types of passages differed only with a spect to work order. Inese findings Parameter in success proposition that some "sarrolate atructure" -- whether an image, the new the memory, of a combination-is pea rate i from prose mater, ils. This con-Struction is not irrectly dependent on the mercule is of in margual words, but on their or a range entire that a sterial -- an effect which For the most administrator as "bernaps the" stated asystemy of meaningfully connected - .r. . 107. p. 143]."

Psycholinguistic Views of Memory

some attempts to characterize what is retained in the continuous of for meshingful material news made use of the poyen similarity com-

structs of deep and surface structure (Sachs, 1967; Blumonthal, 1967). Surface structure refers to the words and phrases of a sentence as perceived by the listener. Deep structure refers to a representation of the gramatical relationships in a sentence, which are not directly indicated by its surface form. In this view, the deep structure of the sentence, rather than the surface structure, is preserved in memory as a basis for semantic interpretation. Clark (1969; Clark and Card, 1969) argues that the semantic features rather than the syntactic distinctions of a sentence are stored, although the deep structure of a sentence must be determined for original comprehension to occur. Both of these formulations treat sentences as separate linguistic objects whose meaning is determined independently of the meanings of other sentences and whose representations remain isolated from one another in memory. With connected discourse, however, memory for information or gist can be independent of retention of both the deep and surface structures of particular sentences.

Processes of Inference and Integration in Memory

Barclay (1973) argues that memory theories which use the individual sentence as the unit of analysis fail to account for memory in situations involving normal comprehension where sentence boundaries are not honored such as the description of a room or an event, where information is synthesized and integrated. Furthermore, comprehension of a sentence may yield information not directly expressed, as in the sentence, "The man stood beneath the platform on which the painter sat." Here the proposition that the man stood beneath the painter is a product of comprehension, yet a linguistic analysis of the sentence itself does not reveal this information. According to Bransford, Barclay, and Franks (1972) sentence memory is a process which involves reconstruction from an abstract holistic representation. The abstract representation of a sentence is constructed by synthesis of information from the surrounding context and by inferences based on general knowledge of the world. Sentence retention is not due primarily to memory for the deep structure and semantic interpretation of an individual sentence, as in the psycholinguistic view, nor is it due to retrieval of the surface structure of the sentence, as in the copy theory of memory. To put it somewhat paradoxically, in the constructive view one goes beyond the information given in a sentence in order to comprehend and retain it.



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

netable from the argual acutement among the case in the Branston's Barchey and Ir a (1977) partition. As maryle of this distinct would be the 141 of Section of Property to the 14 A strength time so yes month and which in his (1973) will be attend and a year to the plant at the coto a second by an any of which has placed been yourself a lot of word latering lie a complete memory for anticultar property. The quite of a distoris which followed the act displaces of territor the provers of partition is consulty seasure roy the acres tall not by A. See population seema to a consider the to to be Catorial value to be treated Tak tak thate a rotal substance and their after receil code that, and a registration of a more stread transfornation (e.g., "It is by the new that regulation is commandy account (3). A negative table some toneo (c. c., "A repatation may be inconcented to the second converge to be the transfer that their type of paragraphics (materiality is 1946). is in the State of interested interest n example) improves sentence not explored to co that some type of broad convertable consults. mer bry represent them to ray dy a warsh inclures or intersects with the probable out

Inference as a Memory Process

A study by dransform Burchey, and France their provides support for the interestial mature of the constructive processes to hence sry, Iwa typos at sentences were present a rant rily, rota diwaren harribation ber ma surface structures and different with respect to a particular word. The example: (1) Pares turtles rester (<u>estab</u> a floating 10) and a from swam conditta tagest (2) haroc tirtles reating the all Dathers of the California was ropeath them, Buth neutoness talkerte that a han was againg the proposite the tartles, but Chalso metables group is for the interface that the fish aware beneath the loss. A recorwith mesonated to was later presented to subjects who has been discussed (1) or (2) in which only the final problem has been changed from the organity to Parce tartled restor (restricted a floating for a six a final aware consists at-

theep structure in a feature theories from the dust surports expose a to the or (2) will be equally heady to retect the process countries. G and call it a "how" sentence. Constructive theory presents that since (3) is a possible inference of the same, thowns narraental Co originally would be more lively than those was haraceura (I) to confuse the sentence they originally means with (3) and label to an object The regults supported the constructive notion of informations in estimated firther normary. Appropries an postal constructor seminities peacraptions of the situation from the producted information in tensivieurs of spatial relations. They were an arbest of naturalism the products of raterence from information which was actually treatenders.

Momory for groups of relate a sentence a was also gradient interessed branders Buckey, mar Francis (1972) read payers led south as the following to purposite . There is a tree with a poxicesape A, and a main is on top of the box. The row is to the right of the trees. The tree is troop, ma extrer dy tall." If sessinitic test emptions of diffactions are constructed, decton his such is The entire to the finite the tree or line tree to to the left of the smain' sabala de continea with graphall gresouth a distribution in a toro mittorial traffic of yout enjoy, no storon as elemplement of heat new and most ariots to both theory, errors and relative artist, or other firm contoured has a winterly heaf in to the original's but with smooth of eaof the term of the for thirther the little opport the condition table as we authority or thought a preserved the applied the situation water the . . .

produce quite / Kapping a contrat contrator from the formula of contrators to a contrator and positive because it was a transfer for a contrator.

Effects of Instructions on Memory Processes

its paraparase.

Barclay (1973) found that a mic inference are esses are unser "voluntary control" inthat instructions to be nearly sentences in b wint to apartial (letteright) or relational (tallere amentor) arrays suppressed interential responses a while normal compressions on instructions, and ametrical casts reached a mental amage of the array, result in the count providence of last really inferrable errors, somalar ies ilts water all contains a considerable rather than it is in rations, was tested, in northly that constructive affects are not appealte to the nother of testing. Apparently, afterent news y representations are constructed upposition of the Commission in the attack the can effect of ministent with A is as 25 (1963), instruction between ne minuted in ripto bearing of the garden puterrals. In strainings that different paper-Fary rough along orwan, tailor instructions, Co. mon, give in contrast to instructional to put a purpose a decrease to the configuration of 197 Community with this institution, embly's (integral e Company of the Control of the Control and make the control of the second se and to the act to thirty . . . 11 CHUL . 1 the after produced and the second March M. Commission of Assessment



Construction may have taken place during such tests rather than as a normal part of comprehension.

Integration of Information in Memory

The process of integration, as well as inference, can be involved in memory. Bransford and Franks (1971) examined the proposition that individuals spontaneously integrate and synthesize information presented in sentences into "ideas" rather than remembering each individual sentence. Bransford and Franks auditorily presented sentences composed of one, two, or three propositions such as the following: (1) "The rock crushed the tiny hut," (2) "The hut was at the edge of the woods," (3) "The rock rolled down the mountain." The propositions could be combined to form one complex "idea sentence" which was never actually presented: "The rock which rolled down the mountain crushed the tiny but at the edge of the woods." Several sets of related sentences were used, and no two members of a set were consecutive. Before acquisition, subjects had been told that they would be asked to answer questions about sentences which were to be presented. When asked at the time of the test to recognize the actual sentences which had been presented, subjects often mistakenly labelled as "old" sentences which were novel but compatible with the "idea sentence." In fact, the greater the proportion of the main idea that was presented in a recognition sentence, the more confident were subjects that they had actually heard the novel sentence, even though the recognition sentences may have been longer than any they heard during acquisition. When sentences can be meaningfully integrated, the distinctions between them do not seem to be well preserved in memory. The authors conclude that holistic semantic descriptions of situations are the basis for reconstruction of individual sentences and groups of related ones.

Katz (1973) argues that the instructions used by Bransford and Franks (1971) were inappropriate for their studies of the process in which the meanings of separate sentences are combined in memory representations. Instructions which demanded recognition of the actual sentences presented—not of their individual meanings—were used in these experiments. Thus memory for the surface structures of particular sentences was tested when the target phenomenon involved the fate of the meanings of the individual related sentences in memory. Katz (1973) used the Bransford and Franks (1971) paradigm with two sets of instructions: one set identical to those used by the original

investigators, and one set which demanded that subjects judge whether sentences presented at recognition meant exactly the same thing as those presented at acquisition. The original finding, that recognition confidence for individual sentences increased linearly with the proportion of the main idea sentence which they included, was replicated with the instructions which required recognition of actually presented sentences. With the "same meaning" instructions, however, recognition confidence was unrelated to the proportion of the idea sentence included in the test sentence. This finding indicates that the linear relationship is not due to semantic processes, and it contradicts the hypothesis that "Recognition of new inputs depends on the number of ideas common to the new inputs and the holistic representation [Katz, 1973, p. 79]." In support of the proposition that this linear effect is not semantically based, Katz reports that in another study using very abstract materials which subjects found "nearly incomprehensible," (e.g. "The original event created an unusual state in the structure of the system"), the effect was also obtained. Furthermore, Reitman and Bower (1973) found similar results with sequences of letters and numbers -- clearly non-semantic materials. Apparently without knowledge of Katz's (1973) finding that the linear effect was unrelated to semantic processes and was probably an artifact of procedure, these investigators proposed two theories based on the relative frequency of presentation of individual elements in order to account for the phenemenon. One theory assumed veridical storage, while the other assumed that son,e kind of prototype was stored. The authors related their findings to those of Bransford and Franks (1971), but the effect they sought to explain appears unrelated to memory for information from related sentences.

Characteristics of the Memory Representation in the Bransford and Franks Integration Paradigm

In the Katz (1973) study, subjects were able to determine whether the meaning of a

•

A similar effect occurs with thematic material presented pictorially. Using filmed sequences of people interacting, Knutson (in Cofer, 1973) found high recognition confidence ratings for sequences which had not been presented originally but which were a summation of shorter sequences presented at acquisition.

particular input matched any part of the representation of the complete idea. Katz points out that if the Bransford and Franks hypothesis concerning the linear effect were true, recognition of the meaning of any individual component of an idea would be virtually impossible -a state of affairs which is contradicted by common sense and the performance of subjects in the "same meaning" condition of Katz's (1973) experiment. This finding should not be interpreted as indicating that all portions of presented material are retained equally well, since we have seen that selective omission consistent with preservation of the theme of a passage increases with memory load (Gomulicki, 1956). Rather, when the memory load goes not demand deletions, and when the task involves the construction of an "idea" by the addition or combination of propositions which are presented, the liklihood of recognizing a proposition does not depend on the extent to which it exhausts the total meaning of all presented propositions. Combinations of propositions are not preserved in the order in which they are given. Subjects in both conditions in the Katz (1973) study were unable to discriminate old combinations of related propositions from new combinations, and were in fact confident that they were recognizing a sentence which contained all related propositions, even though no sentence of that length had ever been presented. These findings support the hypothesis that related propositions are assembled into a construction which (I) permits access to the informational content of individual components

(based on Katz's [1973] findings that in the "same meaning" condition, recognition confidence is not based on the number of elements common to the test item and the "total idea" expressed by the sum of all related propositions) and (2) does not simply mirror the presented organization of the propositions (based on the result cited above regarding the false recognition of novel combinations of propositions).

The Bransford and Franks (1971) paradigm, however, may not bring to light some processes involved in memory for the gist of a passage. In this procedure the "idea" to be constructed was the information contained in a complex sentence which resulted from the summation of separately presented nonconsecutive portions of the sentence. The "idea" was thus not the same as a central theme abstracted from a longer passage. When pieces of information are not merely additive but can be organized in a hierarchy by the learner, the construction of a general theme could allow inferential recognition (but perhaps not recall) of specific propositions that were presented but not actually retained. This process is precluded in the present situation, since one piece of presented information does not provide grounds to infer another. The theme may play a role analogous to that of Ausubel's (1963) construct of cognitive structure, where specific information is first catalogued with respect to more general knowledge, and later undergoes "obliterative subsumption" and loses its identity as it is incorporated into a more inclusive conceptual bin or category.

-

II Visual Imagery in Memory

What is stored in memory, according to Bransford, Barclay, and Franks (1972) is an integrated, semantic description constructed from the interaction of linguistic input and previous knowledge. Others (Pompi and Lachman. 1967) have suggested that the memory for theme or sentence meaning could be represented as a visual image. Yuille and Paivio (1969) used similar materials consisting of paragraphs of either scrambled words (nonthematic condition) or normal sentences (thematic condition). They found (as did Pompi and Lachman, 1967) that more words were recalled from thematic than nonthematic paragraphs. but this effect was obtained only when the passages were concrete (i.e., rated by other Ss as relatively easy to image). The authors concluded that imagery may be important to the storage of a theme. It is notable that themes were constructed for syntactic paragraphs under these conditions with concrete materials, but it is unlikely that word order is of no importance in theme construction and memory for longer abstract passages which can be comprehended.

In further support of the imagery hypothesis, Begg and Paivio (1969) found that changes in meaning (subject-object reversals) were recognized more often than changes in wording (synonym substitution) for concrete sentences, but that the reverse held for abstract sentences. This finding is congruent with the results of a study by Begg (1971), some of which were cited earlier as evidence that memory for meaning need not depend on memory for wording. In this study, memory for meaning and memory for wording were found to be independent for concrete, but not for abstract sentences. The authors interpreted their results as support for the proposition that concrete sentences are stored mainly as unitized images which preserve meaning but not wording, while abstract sentences are stored as strings of words. The findings concerning abstract sentences -- that

memory for wording is superior to memory for meaning—should not be considered as indicating the usual state of affairs with connected material, since unrelated sentences were used. Under these conditions, where sentences are treated as isolated linguistic objects, verbatim memory, rather than construction of a meaningful theme from related sentences, is encouraged (see Barclay, 1973). An interpretation consistent with the imagery hypothesis is that a separate image can be produced from each sentence; hence relatively "word free" meaning rétention is possible even with unrelated concrete sentences.

Problems in Separating Mode of Storage from Comprehension Factors

Differences in ease of comprehension, rather than mode of storage, are likely to account for the different effects found by Begg and Paivio (1969) with abstract and concrete sentences. Their measure of comprehension, which did not differ for the two sentence types, was the overall frequency of detection of change (synonym substitution plus subject-object reversals). Johnson, Bransford, Nyberg, and Cleary (1972) argued that ability to detect change does not necessarily indicate comprehension of the sentence. These investigators found that when subjects were asked to rate Begg and Paivio's original materials for comprehensibility, abstract sentences proved significantly more difficult than concrete ones. It would be more difficult for subjects to detect changes in meaning in abstract sentences than in concrete sentences since the abstract sentences were not understood as well originally. Furthermore, subjects' ratings indicated that subject-object reversals changed the meaning less for abstract than for concrete sentences, indicating that detection of such reversals is not an equally sensitive measure of memory for



÷

::

meaning with both types of sentences. These results do not rule out the imagery hypothesis, but they do indicate that differences in comprehension for abstract and concrete materials must be eliminated before inferences about modes of storage can be made.

A study by Jorgensen and Kintsch (1973) provides further illustration of the problem. Subjects were asked to respond "true" or "false" as rapidly as possible to sentences with concrete nouns as both subject and object. The sentences had been constructed so that some of them were harder to imagine (e.g., "Truck has oil" and "Carrot has stomach") than others (e.g., "Book has cover" and "Rock has hair"). Sentences which had been previously rated by other subjects as highly image-evoking were verified (comprehended and judged true or false) faster than those rated as difficult to image. Imagery instructions had no effect, which can be interpreted as indicating that uninstructed subjects were already using imaginal coding. However, the question remains as to whether high imagery value léads to faster comprehension or vice-versa. The authors point out that imagery value may be a function of the complexity of the memory representation of a word, so that determining the meaning of an abstract word demands dealing with a more extensive nexwork of concepts and relations. Only concrete nouns were used, however, so that the basis of sentence imagery value was the indicated relationship between the nouns. Again determining whether a particular relationship is true or false may be a function of either its imagery value or some other semantic variable. A comparison of the times required to mentally image versus comprehend (one to two seconds) the particular types of sentences used in this study could be helpful in determining the direction of causation (see Paivio, 1971, for a discussion of reaction-time data which indicates the role that imagery may play in comprehension).

Other investigators (Sasson, 1971; Sasson and Fraisse, 1972) have pursued a different line of evidence relevant to imagery processes in sentence memory. Sasson and Fraisse, using both immediate and two-day delay tests, found that interpolated concrete sentences and pictures interfered equally with recall for unrelated concrete sentences. Recall was facilitated equally by interpolated duplicates of original sentences and by pictures which depicted the events described in them. In contrast, neither interpolated concrete sentences nor pictures interfered with recall for abstract sentences, while interpolated abstract santences aid. The authors interpret their findings as support for the proposition of imaginal

storage for concrete sentences and pictures, and verbal storage for abstract sentences. If pictures and concrete sentences were stored verbally, they point out, both would be expected to interfere with abstract sentences, which is not the case. A different interpretation is that this lack of interference is due to differences in informational content--rather than mode of storage -- between pictures and concrete sentences on one hand and abstract sentences on the other. For example, information about justice might be expected to interfere more with statements about economy than with assertions about a horse, a hill, or a car. Without inspection of the experimental materials, one cannot evaluate the plausibility of this explanation with regard to the present

Limitations on the Role of Imagery in Integration and Comprehension of Sentences

In contrast to the above studies where differences between memory for concrete materials and memory for abstract materials are emphasized. Franks and Bransford (1972) found that abstract sentences behaved like the concrete ones used originally in the Bransford and Franks (1971) paradigm, where the information from separate sentences is combined into a holistic representation. In neither case was a string of words retained (as proposed by Begg and Paivio, 1969). Although integration of information from abstract materials can be accomplished without the aid of imagery, the possibility remains that imagery could operate to combine and abstract information from concrete sentences. Bransford, Barclay, and Franks (1972) stress that the inference effect demands the use of previous knowledge (of spatial relations, in this case), not simply picturing information presented in a unit of linguistic input. Processes for "reading" or interpreting a stored image must be proposed as well. The importance of these processes is highlighted when a compound image is presumed to account for the memory representation of several sentences (Yuille and Paivio, 1967), in contrast to the assumption that a discrete image is formed from each sentence.

Clark and Chase (1972), in a study of subjects' comparisons of sentences and pictures, argue on logical grounds that a pure imagery hypothesis cannot account for comprehension or memory of negative sentences. The sentence "A isn't above B," for example, has no uniquely specified image counterpart. To save the imagery hypothesis regarding concrete negative sentences, one would have to propose that some sort of negation tag is affixed to the image.

The authors all that another tag would be needed to specify a point of reference, since it was found that subjects processed "A is above B" differently from "B is below A."

The addition of a negative tag seems particularly precarious, since its loss would result in memory for the opposite of what was presented—a rare phenomenon in memory for gist (see Anisfeld, in Olson, 1971, as cited earlier). Perhaps it is no accident that only affirmative sentences have been used in the imagery studies reviewed here. In another experiment Clark (1969) reports that 49 percent of his subjects claimed that they used

Imagery in solving three-erm series problems. The author shows, however, that a spatial image theory designed to ecount for the solution of such problems (Hurtenlocher, in Clark, 1969) fails to account for this data. The image theorist seems is sed with the uncomfortable choice of accounting for limited phenomena (affirmative concerts sentence memory) or modifying his construct in subscrable ways. For a critical approisal of integery as a theometical construct see Pylyshyn (1973); for seent data concerting the spatial properties the image see Neisser and Cerr (1973).





From Abstraction During Learning to Reconstruction at Recall

All of the characterizations of memory representations considered so far (surface structure, deep structure, semantic features, imagery) produce serious logical and empirical difficulties when they are used to account for the way in which information is comprehended and retained from linguistic input. It is evident that something other than copies of presented stimuli is stored, and that this effect is particularly clear when comprehension, rather than verbatim memory is involved. 2 If we do not know the form in which information is stored, is there any evidence concerning how or when in the memory process this information is abstracted, or how the process of retrieval or reconstruction occurs? Gomulicki (1956), as reported earlier, tested for immediate oral recall of prose passages and found that the length of deletions increased with the length of the passage. Since the main theme of a passage was preserved in spite of deletions, the author argued that during learning, subjects abstracted the central theme and deleted information of less importance in order to keep the most essential material within the span of recall. In order to know what could be omitted without jeopardizing the main idea. subjects had to have constructed this central theme during learning, since immediate recall preserved the jist of the passage.

Bransford and Johnson (1972) and Dooling and Muliet (1973) provide additional support for this proposition. They used passages which were extremely difficult to comprehend unless a thematic title or other information which specified the context of the passage was provided. When this was presented prior to the passage, recall (and comprehension which was measured by subject ratings in the Bransford and Johnson [1972] study) was greater than in a no-title control group. This effect was found whether recall was measured in "idea" units as by Bransford and Johnson, or in free recall of words, as by Dorling and

Muliet. When presented after the passage, however, the thematic title or context did not affect recali. This finding indicates that processes related to the theme--comprehension, selective omission, integration of ideas, abstraction of gist--occur during the presentation of input rather than at the time of test. These results provide additional support for the hypothesis that what is stored is not a duplication of what is presented. The possibility that once a theme has been constructed the information contained in it can be manipulated at recall is not ruled out by these data.

Potts (1972) obtained reaction time data concerning the verification of relationships between members of four-term series (e.g., A > B > C > D) which had been incorporated in pairs into a paragraph. Responses to remote pairs, which were not presented but had to be inferred, were faster and more accurate than responses to pairs which had actually been presented. This finding is difficult to explain, but it does rule out the possibility of inference at recall, which would have increased the verification times for remote pairs. Potts concludes that inference must have taken place during learning, an interpretation identical to that of Barclay (1973). Potts allowed optional note taking during presentation. however, and Barclay tested comprehension of the series between the presentation and recognition testing of particular pairs -- factors which may have shifted or altered the process of inference.

A conclusion similar to that of Potts (1972) and Barclay (1973) was reached by Posner and



1

Note that with mnemonic techniques (Reese, 1970) and "Plans for Remembering" (Miller, Galanter, and Pribram, 1960), what appears to be rote memory can involve deliberate constructive strategies.

Keele (1970), who found that memory for centrai tendencies or base configurations of random dot patterns, which had not been presented but were inferred from presented distortions of the patterns, underwent less loss after one week than memory for distortions which had actually been presented. The authors had predicted this effect from Bartlett's (1932) suggestion that forgetting affects central abstracted information less than peripheral information. If abstraction took place at the time of recognition and was based on memory for the old distortions (originally learned material) losses in memory for the original material would be expected to be accompanied by losses of similar magnitude for memory for inferred central patterns. Such was not the case.

Remembering: Retrieval or <u>Reconstruction?</u>

Abstraction of a theme appears to take place during learning. The generation of inferences from presented information also appears to take place at this time, at least in the situations described above. These findings provide further support for the proposition that what is retained is not a copy of what was presented. Should these data be taken to indicate that since construction occurs during learning, recall in general is not reconstructive but is simply a process of retrieval of part of a stored cognitive product? Three different views of the reconstructive process at recall will be considered. The first is the radical position of Neisser (1967), who maintains that the products of cognitive acts (e.g., images, sentences, themes) are not stored but are reconstructed anew at recall from the results of previous constructive processes. Just as perception of external stimuli does not involve the production of internal copies, when the contents of memory are perceived, they are not copied and simply retrieved at recall. Sasson (1971) provides an interesting counterargument to this position. As was mentioned earlier, he found that interpolated pictures interfered with the recall of unrelated concrete sentences -- one of several results which are accounted for by the hypothesis that the sentences were stored as images. That the pictures were also stored in some visual form, rather than verbally, is suggested by the fact that they were presented at a rate too fast to allow verbal description or naming of them. Neisser (1967) argues that images are not stored, but are reconstructed from information which is by definition nonvisual and inaccessible except through the process of reconstruction. If images were not stored

from both sentences and pictures, the obtained interferences should not have occurred. It appears that the products of some cognitive acts are indeed stored, and that the type of radical reconstruction process proposed by Neisser does not occur in the present situation.

James, Thompson, and Baldwin (1973) propose that a reconstructive process in individual sentence memory operates on stored information (deep structure relations plus a semantic interpretation) to yield sentences at recall which are similar in syntax to those used in normal conversation. These authors found some support for their hypothesis, in that recall for sentences favored actives over passives, and involved a tendency to start a sentence with the most salient noun in the semantic situation described. Some reconstructive process of this type must also be involved in memory for the gist of a passage, since stored information is not identical to what can be recalled (i.e., various paraphrases of individual sentences or different linguistic expressions of an abstracted theme are possible). In light of previous evidence, abstraction of information from prose takes place during presentation, but recall involves translation or reconstruction of selected infirmation into sentences or other linguistic out-

Reconstruction of originally presented information which was not retained, as well as construction of new information which was never presented, seems possible by means of inference at the time of recall. Rumelhart, Lindsay, and Norman (1972) argue that the latter process is demanded by a question such as, "In the house in which you lived three houses ago, how many windows were there on the north side [p. 199]? " This question involves the problem of how one can know something he did not learn, as Tulving (1972) expressed it. Since such information has never been directly presented, it must be figured out by some inferential process applied to a data base of information actually retained in memory. 3 One must determine which house is involved, find which wall faced north (perhaps by its relationship to the sun or a road of known orientation), and so forth. Information which was actually presented but not retained may be reconstructed at recall. For

Neisser (1967); Miller, Galanter, and Pribram, (1960); and Rumelhart, Lindsay, and Norman (1972) make provisions in their theories for the storage of processes such as inferential retrieval strategies.

example, both abstracted and presented know-ledge about the properties of a class include by implication information about the specific numbers of the class, which does not need to be retained separately for each member since it in b. inferred from the fact that a member be longs to a certain class. So far, paradigms have not been used which emphasize this effect as a number been used which emphasize this effect as a number per phenomenon, although its role in learning has been investigated (see Kladumeler and Ripple, 1971).

.h of the present treatment of constructive number has centered around the retention of the gist it connected discourse. It account for complex & ... Aried memory phenomena such as those described immediately above. Rumelhart, Lindsay, and Forman (1972) propos a structured, reconstructive moreory system involving labelled and directed as actions, concepts, relations, propositions, and search strategies. This theory can account in ciple for the inferential and integrative proerties of memory (Bransford, Barclay, and Franks, 1972; Bransford and Franks, 1971, as well as the use of memory in problem solving. Kintsch (1972) describes a theory of semantic memory where propositions are the basic units. and inference serves to delete and regenerate redundant propositions and derive words from some more basic type of lexical memory item (see Potts, 1972, however, for evidence that such deletion rules do not account for processing of redundant information). Some empirical support has peer found for parts of both models (see Anderson and Bower, 1971, regarding the former; Kintsch, 1972, for the latter).

Memory and Cognitive Structure

Rosch (1973) proposes another theory which is relevant to a fundamental problem in constructive memory--the process of conceptual abstraction. The topic of interest here is not limited to the retention of information presented at some particular time, but involves the nature of the organization and synthesis of pravious information in memory which permits individuals to deal with novel and widely varied examples or objects as similar in some important respects. Rosch proposes that classes are acquired naturally on the basis of some constructed central prototype -- a kind of "best example" of the class. In this view, class membership is not an all-or-none matter determined strictly by rules about attributes of examples (a view closer to that of Rumelhart, Lindsay, and Norman, 1972), but a system involving a gradient of category memberhip. Relevant experimental procedures include

asking subjects how "good" certain objects are as examples of a particular class, and relating these ratings to the interchangeability of the examples in sentence frames -- a measure of similarity of meaning. For example, robins, sparrows, penguins; and turkeys are all instances of the class of birds, but a penguin may be technically a bird while a robin is a prime example of "birdness." Instances with similar ratings of "goodness of fit" to a class are treated as similar in that one can be substituted for another in a sentence without producing a semantically peculiar sentence. Similarly, reaction times in sentence verification are shorter for statements about central examples of a class than for examples which are rated as less prototypical. Work with visual materinis (Posner and Keele, 1970; Franks and cansford, 1971) provides support for the prototype model (see Reitman and Bower, 1973, for a discussion of prototype and featurefrequency mudels). It may be that superordinate classes in . . . , furniture) cannot be practerized by a generic visual prototype, wh. w sub-classes (e.g., chair) can. The general wint to be made here is that the structure of manory--whether viewed as Bartlett's (wi: "active organized setting," Ausubel's (190?) "cognitive structure," or the conceptual-semantic network of Rual thart, Lindsay, and Norman (1972) -- is itself a construction which affects the cate forization, encoding, and reconstruction of information.

Age Differences in Constructive Processes

Developmental data should be particularly germane to the constructive viewpoint, where previous experience is expected to affect memory processing of new material. Barclay and Reid (1973) use: a paradigm similar to Barclay's (1973) design to investigate the role of transitive inference in recall by elementary school children (grades two, three, five, and six). Subjects were presented with sentences which expressed greater-than or lessthan relationships between members of a pair, and asked to solve problems which required transitive inference, after which they attempted to recall the sentences. The results (which included an analysis restricted to recall of sentences after correct solution of the problem). suggested that the memory representations of younger children are often fragmentary and self-contradictory, while those of older subjects included both expressed and inferred information and were more internally consistent. Paris and Carter (1973) found that both second and fifth grade children often mistakenly recognized as "old" sentences which had not



been presented at acquisition out which expressed information which could be inferred from the original sentences. For example, the sentence "The bird is under the table" was likely to be "recognized" when the actual sentences presented were "The bird is inside the case" ans "The case is under the table." False premise and inference statements, on the other hand, were very likely to be rejected. which indicated that the frequent errors in the direction of true inferences were not simply the result of generally poor performance on the recognition test. In this study, second and fifth graders performed similarly, while the Barclay and Reid (1973) results suggest age differences in memory. It may be that transitive inferences are more difficult to make than the spatial inferences which subjects spontaneously Jenerated in the Paris and Carter (1973) study, but the greater difficulty of transitive inferences could not be responsible for the age differences in any direct way, since Barclay and Reil's (1973) conclusions are based on sentence recall data which accompanied only previous correct solution of inference problems. Differences in the type of test used (e.g., recall vs. recognition) may have produced ifferences in task difficulty which could have caused the difference in results between the ti'o studies. Paris (1973), using a Bransford ard Franks (1971) type of integration (rather .han inference) paradigm with sentences and meaningful pictures, found that second and . Su th grade children demonstrated the contructive memory effect with both types of ...t rials. Perhaps there is some younger age t which children's memory in certain domains nore copy-like than constructive, but rele-:: : lata are lacking.

riaget (1968) proposes that the development the constructive ("operative," in Piaget's te i) type of memory parallels the developat f operative schemes (e.g., preoperaal concrete operational, formal). According L ben's (1973) interpretation of Piaget, "The .-T. ive component store nowledge which e bean acquired through the transforming or curing activities of the organism's oper-" schemes . . . operative memories are " tric and sullect to revision with changing 4, 1 tive schemes"--a view similar to Bartlett's (. * Jeneral position. Plaget (1968) provides i'e that reproduction memory for a seriat ' 'Tay of sticks and the horizontal level of r. a tilted bottle improves over a sixetention interval die to 2s' cognitive . ment which provides a higher level for decoding the continuous. Lib. .iled to replicat. Plaget's finding, using 'ifti . ne Ss, the viter level problem, and an neded methodological improvements.

Even though Ss improved in operational level of the concept of horizontality (e.g., water level remains horizontal regardless of angle of jar), memory for the original tilted-jar stimuli did not change as predicted. Similarly, Finkel and Crowley (1973) failed to replicate Piaget's finding with respect to memory for seriated sticks. It seems that if the original memory encoding is done when Ss is at a relatively low level of cognitive development, a later increase in decoding ability does not seem to allow S to overcome the effects of the original "impoverished" level of encoding of input.

Forgetting

Theories and evidence have been presented concerning the constructive nature of memory, but the process of forgetting nas not been separately treated. Selective encoding and discarding of information during presentation was been described as part of the constructive position, and selective recall congruent with the individual's attitude at that time is a prominent part of Bartlett's (1932) theory, but the question remains as to what happens to the "stored" representation over time. Noisser (1967) considers that such factors as "simple forgetting, " tetroactive and proactive inhibition, and consolidation are probably not directly relevant to the problems of organization and use of memory (but see Cunringham, 1972, fc. evidence that retroactive interference can occur with prose). Ausukel (1963) proposes that forgetting is a continuation of the learning process. Over the relention interval, specific information becomes incorporates into representations of more general knowledge, where it finally may become inaccessible. Information that is not meaningful (i.e., cannot be related to what the learner knows) remains as an i-clated trace which is subject to whatever actors influence the forgetting of rote materials. Rumelhert, Lindsay, and Norman (1972) indicate that no provision for erasure from long-term memory is made in their theory, and they note that a sophisticated retrieval process must be postulated to deal with incorrect or obsolete information which remains in memory. Other authors reviewed here have been rather reticent on the subject of forgetting. Sasson's (1971) finding, that the representations of concrete sentences and images interfere with one another in memory, indicates that the phenomenon of interference among stored cognitive products should be dealt with in theories of constructive memory if forgetting is not to be ignored.



020 007-000-0

Summary, Implications for Education, and Future Research

An overview of the patchwork of evidence presented here indicates that when meaningful material is used, constructive processes must be proposed in order to account for memory phenomena such as the retention of gist and spontaneous inference beyond presented information. Reconstruction at the time of recall involves the translation of stored information into a wide variety of possible sentences or other inquistic products. Recall can also depend on inferential reconstructive processes which take place at that time. The radical view that cognitive products cannot be stored but must be reconstructed anew at recall (Neisser, 1967, as influenced by Bartlett, 1932) is not supported by the limited relevant evidence. The form of the memory representation which permits the retention of meaning independent of specific wording remains unclear, although some construct which transcends sentence boundaries is necessary (see Fillenbaum, 1970, for problems in determining the form of storage in a constructive-reconstructive memory system). Whether prototype, feature, or both types of models of conceptual memory structure will prove adequate in dealing with the naturally occurring process of abstraction of classes from specific instances remains to be seen.

Educational Implications

When memory is taken to include cr.istructive, interpretative, and reconstructive processes, it becomes more difficult to determine what a student will recall from a becture or text than when it is assumed that what is retained resembles a copy of which was originally presented. If we accept the constructivist position, what can be done to promote accurate recall and combension of meaningful material? Memory for prose appears to involve the abstraction of a theme and the

selective omission of information of relatively iess importance to that theme (Bransford and Johnson, 1972; Gomulicki, 1956). In this process the interpretation and comprehension early in the passage would seem to be crucial in determining how later information is interpreted and whether it is omitted or retained. From this perspective, introductor/ devices which may enhance comprehension of early portions of a passage and thus guide later interpretation and abstraction (e.g., Ausubei's [1968] "advanced organizers" and Davidson's [1973] concretizing analogies) merit serious consideration. The importance of early comprehension is underscored by Howe's (1970) finding that learners were highly persistent in repeating early errors in prose recall in spite of repeated presentation of the passage.

Paraphrase may be an effective means of improving comprehension and hence theme formation and retail. The greater effectiveness of providing paraphrases as opposed to repetitions of reverbs in a recall task (Heneck. 1973) may have been due to "deeper" or more thorough comprehension of the proverbs caused by the paraphrased versions. The learner's or n activities can also be directed to increase comprehension. Barclay (1973) found that instructions to comprehend the passage caused Ss to make a greater number of valid inferences from a passage about spatial relations than did instructions to memorize it. Instructions to paraphrase might facilitate recall for the meaning of a passage in a similar fashion. The constructivist's distinction between verbatim and substance memory is paralleled by the educator's stress on understanding rather than rote learning. In both cases it is emphasized that memory for the meaning of a presentation does not depend on memory for its specific wording. The construction of tests using paraphrase or inference items which measure comprehension, as contrasted with retention of wording, is of crucial concern to both the



teacher and the memory researcher (see Anderson, 1972, regarding the construction of achievement tests which measure comprenension).

Suggestions for Future Research

Although constructive memory effects have been demonstrated convincingly in various experimental paradigms, the lack of systematic manipulation of conventional task variables retards the formation of the empirical base necessary for more explicit characterization of the memory process. For example, prose passages used in memory studies have varied greatly with respect to length, familiarity, and difficulty. The effects of factors such as the number of presentations of a passage, the length of the retention interval, the mode of test (recognition/recall or oral/written), and the type of scoring system used (either verbatim or some kind of substance measure) have not been adequately charted. Mapping out such effects is important in that familiar variables may have unexpected and revealing effects with meaningful materials. For example, repeated presentation and testing are only marginally effective in eliminating original errors of addition and omission in prose recall, which suggests that initial interpretative and coding processes have effects which persist in spite of corrective feedback (Howe, 1970). Similarly, repetition was found to be less effective than paraphrase in improving both verbatim and substance recall of proverbs (Honeck, 1973). This latter finding raises the possibility that some more general representation exists which has points in common with both the proverb and its paraphrase.

Perhaps Howe's (1970) findings are partly due to the fact that the written recall test may have encouraged subjects to pay particular attention to their own responses, so that these were studied more than the oral presentation of the passage. Honeck's (1973) results may be more applicable to unrelated proverbe than to prose. That the highly conventional variable of practice yields unconventional effects with meaningful materials has implications for the memory processes involved, but related studies with alternate testing methods and materials of various degrees of difficulty are clearly needed to establish the generality of these findings.

The rather ordinary variable of passage length may have effects which yield information about the nature of the memory representation. In prose recall, as the length of a passage increases, deletions increase in length from words, to phrases, to sentences, and finally to whole soctions of material not crucial to the centra, theme (Gomulicki, 1956). This effect suggests that as the length of the passage increases, the memory representation becomes more distant from the original and becomes more of an abstracted synopsis than a verbatim transcription. If this is so, the type of interference which occurs between two passages should be related to their length. Intrusions in short passages would be expected to be on the single word or phrase level, while interference amony longer passages should be on a more global, abstract, and conceptual level.

Other unanswered questions remain. The description of the sort of abstract memory representation or process which allows translation between pictures and words (Pylyshyn, 1973) and between prose and paraphrase remains a formidable theoretical and empirical task. Whether or not contradictory information is integrated in memory in the same way that compatible sentences are (Bransford and Franks, 1971) is unknown (Burrows, 1971). Developmental changes in constructive processes such as the abstraction of a theme from prose have yet to be explored.



References

- Anderson, I. R., & Bower, G. H. On an associative trace for sentence memory. <u>Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1971, <u>10</u>, 673-680.
- Anderson, R. C. How to construct achievement tests to measure comprehension. Review of Educational Research. 1972, 42, 145-170.
- Ausubel, D. P. The psychology of meaningful verbal learning. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1963.
- Ausubel, D. P. <u>Educational psychology</u>:

 <u>A cognitive view</u>. New York: Holt,
 Rinehart, and Winston, 1968.
- Barulay, J. R. The role of comprehension in remembering sentences. <u>Cognitive Psychology</u>, 1973, <u>4</u>, 229-254.
- Barclay, J. R., & Reid, M. Logical
 operations and sentence memory in
 ch.ldren. Paper presented at a
 meeting of the Society for Research
 in Child Development, Philadelphia,
 March 1973.
- Bartlett, F. C. <u>Remembering: A study in experimental and social psychology</u>. Cambridge: University Press, 1932.
- Begg, I. Recognition memory for sentence meaning and wording. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1971, 10, 176-181.
- Begg, I., & Paivio, A. Concreteness and imagery in sentence meaning. <u>Iournal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1969, 8, 821-827
- Blumenthal, A. L. Prompted recall of sertences. <u>lournal of Verbal Learning</u> and <u>Verbal Bahavier</u>, 1967, 203-206.
- Bransford, J. D., Raiclay, J. .., S. Frant J. J. Sentance mentary: A continued tive vessus an interpretive and the Committee Pr., notary, 19 33-209.

- Bransford, J. D., & Franks, J. J. The abstraction of linguistic ideas.

 Cognitive Psychology, 1971, 2, 331-350.
- Bransford, J. D., & Johnson, M. K. Contextual prerequisities for understanding: Some investigations of comprehension and recall. <u>Journal of Verbal Learning</u> and <u>Verbal Behavior</u>, 1972, 11, 717->26.
- Burrows, D. Some factors affecting the information structure of memory. Unpublished manuscript, University of Minnesota, 1971.
- Clark H. H. Linguistic processes in deductive reasoning. <u>Psychological Reviews</u>, 1969, <u>76</u>, 387-404.
- Clark, H. H., & Card, S. K. Role of semantics in remembering comperative sentences. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology</u>, 1969, <u>\$2</u>, \$45-553.
- Clark, H. H., & Chase, W. G. On the process of comparing sentences against pictures <u>Camitive Psychology</u>. 1972, 3, 472-517.
- Cofer, C. N. Constructive processes in memory. Apartage Scientist. 1973. Sept. Cat. 53:554.
- Sunninghy, D. The restation of connected ascourse: Assourse, Assourse, Assourse, Assourse, Assourse, 1972, 42, 47-71
- Dividson L. Hypostatization process in hing. Grant proposal, University at Wisconsin-Madison, 1973.
 - thematic effects in retention of prose.

 <u>Iournal of Experimental Psychology</u>,
 1973, <u>97</u>, 404-406.
- English, H. B., Welborn, E. L., & Killian, C. D. Studies in substance memorization.

 <u>Iournal of General Psychology</u>, 1934,

 11, 233-260.



- Fillenbaum, S. Psycholinguistics. Annual Review of Psychology, 1971, 22, 251-398.
- Finkel, D. L., & Crowley, C. A. Improvement in children's long term memory for seriated sticks: Change in memory storage or coding rules. Paper presented at a meeting of the Society for search in Child Development, b. Selphia, March 1973.
- Franks, ... Bransford, J. D. Abstraction of visua. orns. <u>Iournal of Experimental Psyco.</u> 1971, 90, 65-74.
- Gomulicki, B. R. Recall as T. Ebstractive process. Acta Process. 1956.
- tioneck, R. P. interpretative vertus structual effects on seminital memory fournal of Verbal Learning 2017, "bal Behavior, 1973, 13, 448-445.
- Howe, M. T. A. Repeated presentation and recall of meaningful prose. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1970, <u>61</u>, 214-219.
- James, C. T., Thompson, J. B., & Baldwin, J. M. The reconstructive process in sentence memory. <u>Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1973, 12, 51-63.
- Johnson, M. K., Bransford, J. D., Nyberg, S. E., & Cleary, J. J. Comprehension factors in interpreting memory for abstract and concrete sentences. <u>Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal</u> Behavior, 1972, 31, 451-454.
- jorgenson, C. C., & Kintsch, W. The role of imagery in the evaluation of sentences.

 Countive Psychology, 1973. 4, 110-116.
- Katz. S. Role of instructions in abstraction of linguistic ideas. <u>Iournal of Experimental Psychology</u>, 1973, 98, 79-84.
- Kintsch, V.. Notes on the structure of semantic memory. In E. Tulving and W. Donaldson (Eds.), Organization and Memory. New York: Academic Press, 1972, 249-273.
- Klausme; er, H. J., & Ripple, R. E. Learning and Human Abilities. (3rd ed.)
 New York: Harper and Rowe, 1971.
- Liben, L. S. Horizontality: The relation

 be ween operative level and long-term

 memory. Paper presented at a meeting

 of the Society for Research in Child

 Day slop nest, Philadely 11. North 1973.

- Miller, G. A., Galanter, E., & Pribram, K.

 <u>Plans and the structure of behavior</u>.

 New York: Holt, 1960.
- Neisser, U. Cognitive psychology. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1907.
- Neisser, U., & Kerr, N. Spatial and innemoral properties of visual images. Committee Psychology, 1973, 5, 138-150.
- Olson, G. M. Memory for prenuminal editives in ordinary English services.

 Cognitive Psychology 100, 2, 300-312.
- Paris, S. G. Childre, s constructive memory.

 Paper propented at a meeting of the
 Society for Research in Child Development, Philadelphia, March 1973.
- Pt., s. S. G., & Carter, A. Y. Semantic and constructive aspects of sentence memory in children. <u>Developmental Psychology</u>, 1973, 9, 109-113.
- Paul, I. H. The concept of schema in memory theory. Psychological Issues, 1967, 5, 218-258.
- Pavio, A. Imagery and verbal processes.

 New York: Holt, Rinehart, and
 Winston, 1971, 441-448.
- Piaget, J. On the development of memory and identity. Barre, Mass.: Clarke University Press, 1968.
- Pompi, K. F., & Lachman, R. Surrogate processes in the short term retention of connected discourse. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology</u>, 1967, <u>75</u>, 143-150.
- Posner, M. I., & Keele, S. W. Retention of abutract ideas. <u>Journal of Experimental Parquelogy</u>, 1970, 83, 304-308.
- Potts, G. R. Information processing strategies used in the encoding of linear orderings.

 <u>lournal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1972, 11, 727-740.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. What the mind's eye tells the mind's brain: A critique of mental imagery. <u>Psychological Fulletin</u>, 1973, 80, 1-24.
- Reese, M. W. Imagery and contextual meaning.

 Psychological Bulletin, 1970, 73,

 404-414.
- Reitman, J. S., & Bower, G. H. Storage and later recognition of examples of concepts. Cognitive Psychology, 1973, 4, 194-206.
- Rosch, E. Universal and cultural specifics in human categorization. Preliminary draft of a paper presented at a conference on the interface between culture and learning, The East-West Center, Honolulu, Jan. 28-Feb. 3, 1973.

- methart, initially, P. H., & Norman,
 A. A process model for long term
 memory. In E. Tulvin, and W. Donaldson (Eds.), Organization of memory,
 New York: Academic Press, 1972,
 198-245.
- Sachs, J. S. Recognition memory for syntactic and semantic aspects of connected discourse. <u>Perception and</u> <u>Psynophysica</u>, 1967, 2, 437-442.
- Sasson, R. Y. Intertaining images at sentence retrieval. <u>Journal of Experiments</u>; <u>Psychology</u>, 1971, 89, 56-62.
- Sasson, R. Y., & Fraisse, P. Images in memory for concrete and elistract sentences. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychology</u>, 1972, 94, 149-155.
 Stanners, R. F., Headly, D. B., & Clark,

- W. R. 1:e pupiliary response to sentence: Influences of listening set and deep structure. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Verbal learning and Verbal Behavior</u>, 1972, 11, 2:7-263.
- In E. Tulving and W. Donaldson (Eds.),

 Organization : f memory. New York:

 Academic Fres:, 1972, 382-402.
- Welborn, E. L., & English, H. B. Logical learning and recontion: A general review of experiments with meaningful materials. Psychological Bulletin, 1937, 34, 1-20.
- Youle, .. C., & Paivio, ... Abstractness and recall of connects t discourse. <u>Journal of Experimental Psychottov</u>, 1969, 82, 467-471.

5PG 07-088.8